# NEEDS ANALYSIS IN DEVELOPING A MODEL OF A HEALTHY ENVIRONMENT IN PREVENTING THE RISK OF TUBERCULOSIS (TB)

#### Linda Marni<sup>\*</sup>, Bustari Muchtar, Siti Fatimah

Doctoral Program of Environmental Sciences, Universitas Negeri Padang – Indonesia \*lindamarni@fik.unp.ac.id

#### ABSTRACT

Research has been carried out on a healthy environmental model in preventing the risk of Tuberculosis (TB). This study is to analyze the needs of the community in preventing the risk of TB. The method used is (R & D) with the ADDIE model. The research population is in RSUD Sungai Limau Sub-district with a total of 146 respondents. The sample used by all respondents in the research location is 146 respondents. Data collection using a questionnaire was distributed to respondents, then processed using the SPPS program. The results of the study found that with a value of 0.000, smaller than 0.05, there was a significant difference between knowledge, perception, and behavior in the Sungai Limau Sub-district to prevent the risk of TB disease. Therefore, it is necessary to create a healthy environmental model, especially for slum areas in Sungai Limau District.

Keywords: Preventing the Risk, Tuberculosis (TB), Healthy Environment, Padang Pariaman.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Padang Pariaman Regency is one of the districts with the second highest TB incidence in West Sumatra Province. In 2015 Padang Pariaman Regency had 1,125 cases of new cases of acid-fast bacteria with suspected TB or known BTA (+) with a prevalence of 0.18%. In 2016, there were 1,244 new cases of AFB (+) with a prevalence of 0.17%. Furthermore, data from the Padang Pariaman District Health Office revealed that in 2018 from 6,894 the number of suspects examined, there were 368 estimates of pulmonary TB patients. In addition, Padang Pariaman Regency also has a Case Detection Rate (CDR) of only 40%, even though the target is 70%, with a program success of 84% (target 90%)<sup>1</sup>. The high number of TB cases in Indonesia, especially in Padang Pariaman Regency, West Sumatra Province, has prompted the government to take immediate action or efforts to suppress TB cases.

These efforts can be seen from the policies issued through the Minister of Health Regulation No. 67/2016 concerning TB control which is carried out through health promotion, TB surveillance, risk factor control, discovery and control of TB cases, provision of immunity, and provision of preventive drugs. This policy is implemented by the government through free treatment for 6 months for pulmonary TB patients, monitoring programs for taking medication for TB patients to motivate patients to take medication regularly until completion of treatment, re-examine sputum at the appointed time, counseling the patient's family<sup>2</sup>, and the implementation of the WHO-recommended Directly Observed Treatment Shortcourse chemotherapy (DOTS) strategy. However, various efforts made by the government have not shown maximum results. This could be due to the lack of optimal implementation of the DOTS strategy in government and private

hospitals, limited funds, lack of cross-program and cross-sectoral collaboration at the Health Office, and guidance for the private health sector not being carried out<sup>3</sup>.

Based on the results of research observations, if you look at the environmental conditions in Padang Pariaman Regency, most of the population relies on marine products for a living, and it is not surprising that many fishermen are classified as poor and need the intervention of various parties to increase their welfare. This can be seen from the presence of 230 uninhabitable houses in Padang Pariaman Regency that received housing assistance through the Self-Help Housing Stimulant Assistance Program (BSPS) from the Ministry of Public Works and Public Housing (PUPR)<sup>4</sup>. This condition indicates that the slums or houses are unfit for habitation with characteristics, among others, very high building density in a limited area, prone to social and environmental diseases, very low quality of buildings, inadequate environmental infrastructure is not served and endangers the sustainability of life. and livelihoods of its residents are still high<sup>5</sup> in Padang Pariaman Regency. Whereas slum settlements are areas with the presence of risk factors and a potential for the spread of TB<sup>5</sup>.

Environmental conditions in Padang Pariaman Regency can be a risk factor for increasing TB disease, including low economic status increasing exposure to risk factors, and susceptibility to infectious diseases. Environmental risk factors are modifiable factors or factors that can be changed through the individual's awareness and social intervention while age, gender, and genetics cannot be modified<sup>7</sup>. The environmental risk factors based on division<sup>8</sup> are risk factors for infection with TB as well as exogenous factors<sup>9</sup> that are at risk for TB. The home environment is one of the risk factors for TB.

Home environmental factors that are part of the physical environment, such as poor ventilation can affect the temperature and humidity of the house due to the lack of light entering the house<sup>10</sup>. This condition can create a humid and dark home atmosphere, so germs can last a long time in the house<sup>11</sup>, so they have a risk of transmitting to other individuals. Then the location of the house in a dense area<sup>12</sup> can accelerate TB transmission. Therefore, it is necessary to analyze the need for a healthy environmental model for people who live in slums to avoid infectious diseases such as TB.

# METHODS

This research is a research and development (R & D) research that aims to see how far the effectiveness of developing an outcome (product)<sup>13 14 15 16 17 18</sup>. The ADDIE model in this study was carried out on a needs analysis in the development of a healthy environment model to prevent the risk of tuberculosis in slum settlements in Sungai Limau Sub-district, Pariaman Padang Regency. The population of this research was conducted in RSUD Sungai Limau Sub-district with a total of 146 respondents. The sample in this study was all respondents in the research location, namely 146 respondents. Data collection using a questionnaire was distributed to respondents, then processed using the SPPS program.

# **RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS**

This needs analysis aims to determine the initial conditions of the condition of patient's house and the neighbors around it. The needs analysis was carried out using a research instrument in the form of a question questionnaire to know the knowledge of families who were around TB patients and use the results of observations made on the condition of the home environment around TB patients. Based on the results of initial observations, Sungai Limau Sub-district tends to be caused by a high density of house occupants, problems with toilets/latrines, lack of lighting, and high humidity. Furthermore, the results of the questionnaire containing knowledge questions about TB before being distributed to research respondents were tested for validity and reliability first. The results of the validity test showed that all question items on knowledge were valid as measured by the R<sub>-count</sub> > R<sub>-table</sub> value (0.2303). Furthermore, the results of the reliability test (Table 1) can be seen that Cronbach's Alpha value has high reliability.

| Variable     | r Alpha | Information      |
|--------------|---------|------------------|
| TB knowledge | 0.845   | High reliability |
| Perception   | 0.860   | High reliability |
| Behavior     | 0.860   | High reliability |

Table 1. Reliability test results of research instruments

Source: Processed results of primary data (2022).

## A. Model implementation

The model that has been validated is applied to the working area of the health center that has the most TB data spread in Sungai Limau Sub-district, Padang Pariaman Regency with the following details:

## 1. TB knowledge

#### Descriptive analysis

Table 2. Descriptives

| Ν         |     | Mean | Std. Deviation | Minimum | Maximum |
|-----------|-----|------|----------------|---------|---------|
| Pre_test  | 73  | 3,26 | 3,362          | 0       | 13      |
| Post_test | 73  | 6,6  | 3,108          | 2       | 15      |
| Total     | 146 | 4,93 | 3,636          | 0       | 15      |

Based on Table 2 above, it is known that the number of samples each is 73 respondents. The minimum value of the knowledge Pre\_test is 0 and the maximum is 13, while the average is 3.26 with a standard deviation of 3.362. The minimum value of the Knowledge Post-test is 2 and the maximum is 15. While the average is 6.60 with a standard deviation of 3.108.

#### Normality test

Table 3. Tests of normality (a. Lilliefors Significance Correction)

|           | Knowladge  |           | Kolmo | gorov-Sr | nirnov <sup>a</sup> |
|-----------|------------|-----------|-------|----------|---------------------|
|           | Kilowiedge |           |       | df       | Sig.                |
| Vnowladaa |            | Pre_test  | ,174  | 73       | ,000                |
| Knowledge |            | Post_test | ,120  | 73       | ,011                |

#### Homogeneity Test

Table 4. Test of homogeneity of variances (Knowledge)

| - | Levene Statistic | df1 | df2 | Sig. |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|------|
| - | ,776             | 1   | 144 | ,380 |

Homogeneity test shows that the significance value of Levene's statistics is greater than 0.05, which is 0.380, so it can be concluded that the experimental group and the control group have

the same variance (homogeneous).

Table 5. Differential test (Mann Whitney) (Ranks)

|           | Knowledge | Ν  | Mean Rank | Sum of Ranks |
|-----------|-----------|----|-----------|--------------|
|           | Pre_test  | 73 | 53,44     | 3901,00      |
| Knowledge | Post_test | 73 | 93,56     | 6830,00      |
|           | Total     |    | 146       |              |

Based on the Ranks table above, it can be seen that the highest mean rank of knowledge is on the Post\_test, which is 93.56. And the lowest mean rank is in the pre test, which is 53.44. Then proceed with the Mann Whitney test which can be seen in the following Table 6 below.

Table 6. Test statistics<sup>a</sup> (a. Grouping Variable: Knowledge)

|                        | Knowledge |
|------------------------|-----------|
| Mann-Whitney U         | 1200,000  |
| Wilcoxon W             | 3901,000  |
| Z                      | -5,764    |
| Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) | ,000      |

Based on the test statistics table above, it can be seen that the Asymp value. The significance of Knowledge is 0.000, smaller than 0.05, which means that there is a significant difference between the two tests consisting of Pre\_test and post-test on TB Knowledge in Sungai Limau.

#### 2. Perception

Descriptive Analysis

Table 7. Descriptive statistics

|                    | Ν  | Mean  | Std.<br>Deviation | Minimu | m Maximum |
|--------------------|----|-------|-------------------|--------|-----------|
| Pre_Perception_SL  | 73 | 44,34 | 5,197             | 36     | 63        |
| Post_Perception_SL | 73 | 47,08 | 5,309             | 38     | 65        |

Based on the Table 7 above, it is known that the number of samples each is 73 respondents. The minimum value of the perception Pre\_test is 36 and the maximum is 63 while the average is 44.34 with a standard deviation of 5.197. The minimum value of the post-test perception is 38 and the maximum is 65. While the average is 47.08 with a standard deviation of 5.

## Normality test

Table 8. Tests of normality (a. Lilliefors Significance Correction)

|                    | Kolmogorov-Smirnov <sup>a</sup> |    |       | Shapiro-Wilk |    |      |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|----|-------|--------------|----|------|
|                    | Statistic                       | df | Sig.  | Statistic    | df | Sig. |
| Pre_Perception_SL  | ,180                            | 50 | ,000  | ,888         | 50 | ,000 |
| Post_Perception_SL | ,188                            | 50 | ,000, | ,901         | 50 | ,001 |
| Pre_Behavior_SL    | ,433                            | 50 | ,000, | ,629         | 50 | ,000 |
| Post_Behavior_SL   | ,228                            | 50 | ,000, | ,875         | 50 | ,000 |
| Pre_Perception_LA  | ,299                            | 50 | ,000, | ,858         | 50 | ,000 |
| Post_Perception_LA | ,222                            | 50 | ,000, | ,891         | 50 | ,000 |
| Pre_Behavior_LA    | ,443                            | 50 | ,000, | ,469         | 50 | ,000 |

|                    | Kolm      | Kolmogorov-Smirnov <sup>a</sup> |      |           | Shapiro-Wilk |       |  |
|--------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|------|-----------|--------------|-------|--|
|                    | Statistic | df                              | Sig. | Statistic | df           | Sig.  |  |
| Post_Behavior_LA   | ,328      | 50                              | ,000 | ,780      | 50           | ,000  |  |
| Pre_Perception_PK  | ,144      | 50                              | ,011 | ,950      | 50           | ,034  |  |
| Post_Perception_PK | ,144      | 50                              | ,011 | ,950      | 50           | ,034  |  |
| Pre_Behavior_PK    | ,500      | 50                              | ,000 | ,330      | 50           | ,000  |  |
| Post_PeriPKku_PK   | ,327      | 50                              | ,000 | ,617      | 50           | ,000, |  |
| Pre_Perception_UL  | ,307      | 50                              | ,000 | ,632      | 50           | ,000  |  |
| Post_Perception_UL | ,232      | 50                              | ,000 | ,722      | 50           | ,000  |  |
| Pre_Behavior_UL    | ,524      | 50                              | ,000 | ,172      | 50           | ,000, |  |
| Post_Behavior_UL   | ,335      | 50                              | ,000 | ,692      | 50           | ,000, |  |

Based on the normality test Table 8 above, it is known that the significance value of the Pre\_test and Post\_test is small from alpha 0.05 so it can be concluded that the data is not normally distributed, so a non-parametric test using Man Whiteney was carried out.

#### Homogeneity test

Table 9. Test of homogeneity of variances (Perception\_SL)

| Levene Statistic | df1 | df2 | Sig. |
|------------------|-----|-----|------|
| ,105             | 1   | 144 | ,747 |

Homogeneity test shows the significance value of Levene's statistics is greater than 0.05, namely 0.747 so it can be concluded that the experimental group and the control group have the same variance (homogeneous).

| for 10. Differential rest (Wallie Winterey) (Ranks) |                           |    |           |              |
|---|---------------------------|----|-----------|--------------|
|   | Prepost_Perception_<br>SL | Ν  | Mean Rank | Sum of Ranks |
|   | Pre_test                  | 73 | 60,58     | 4422,00      |
| Perception_SL                                       | Post_test                 | 73 | 86,42     | 6309,00      |
|   | Total                     |    | 146       | )            |

Tabel 10. Differential Test (Mann Whitney) (Ranks)

Based on the Ranks Table 10 above, it can be seen that the highest mean rank of Perception is on the Post\_test, which is 86.42. And the lowest mean rank is in the pre test, which is 60.58. Then proceed with the Mann Whitney test which can be seen in the following Table 11 below.

Table 11. Test Statistics<sup>a</sup> (a. Grouping Variable: Prepost\_Perception\_SL)

| , I U                  |               |
|------------------------|---------------|
|                        | Perception_SL |
| Mann-Whitney U         | 1721,000      |
| Wilcoxon W             | 4422,000      |
| Z                      | -3,706        |
| Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) | ,000          |

Based on the test statistics table above, it can be seen that the Asymp value. The significance of the perception is 0.000, which is smaller than 0.05, which means that there is a significant difference between the two tests consisting of a Pre\_test and a post-test on the community's perception of TB disease in Sungai Limau.

## 3. Behavior

Descriptive analysis

| Table 12. Descriptives (Behavior SL |
|-------------------------------------|
|-------------------------------------|

|           | Ν   | Mean  | Std.<br>Deviation | Minimum | Maximum |
|-----------|-----|-------|-------------------|---------|---------|
| Pre_test  | 73  | 18,79 | ,623              | 17      | 21      |
| Post_test | 73  | 20,99 | 1,047             | 19      | 24      |
| Total     | 146 | 19,89 | 1,395             | 17      | 24      |

Based on the Table 12 above, it is known that the number of samples each is 73 respondents. The minimum value of the behavioral Pre\_test is 17 and the maximum is 21. While the average is 18.79 with a standard deviation of 0.623. The minimum score for the behavioral post test is 19 and the maximum is 24. While the average is 20.99 with a standard deviation of 1.047.

## Normality test

Table 13. Tests of normality (a. Lilliefors Significance Correction)

|                    | Kolmogorov-Smirnov <sup>a</sup> |    |      | Shapiro-Wilk |    |      |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|----|------|--------------|----|------|
|                    | Statistic                       | df | Sig. | Statistic    | df | Sig. |
| Pre_Perception_SL  | ,180                            | 50 | ,000 | ,888         | 50 | ,000 |
| Post_Perception_SL | ,188                            | 50 | ,000 | ,901         | 50 | ,001 |
| Pre_Behavior_SL    | ,433                            | 50 | ,000 | ,629         | 50 | ,000 |
| Post_Behavior_SL   | ,228                            | 50 | ,000 | ,875         | 50 | ,000 |
| Pre_Perception_LA  | ,299                            | 50 | ,000 | ,858         | 50 | ,000 |
| Post_Perception_LA | ,222                            | 50 | ,000 | ,891         | 50 | ,000 |
| Pre_Behavior_LA    | ,443                            | 50 | ,000 | ,469         | 50 | ,000 |
| Post_Behavior_LA   | ,328                            | 50 | ,000 | ,780         | 50 | ,000 |
| Pre_Perception_PK  | ,144                            | 50 | ,011 | ,950         | 50 | ,034 |
| Post_Perception_PK | ,144                            | 50 | ,011 | ,950         | 50 | ,034 |
| Pre_Behavior_PK    | ,500                            | 50 | ,000 | ,330         | 50 | ,000 |
| Post_PeriPKku_PK   | ,327                            | 50 | ,000 | ,617         | 50 | ,000 |
| Pre_Perception_UL  | ,307                            | 50 | ,000 | ,632         | 50 | ,000 |
| Post_Perception_UL | ,232                            | 50 | ,000 | ,722         | 50 | ,000 |
| Pre_Behavior_UL    | ,524                            | 50 | ,000 | ,172         | 50 | ,000 |
| Post_Behavior_UL   | ,335                            | 50 | ,000 | ,692         | 50 | ,000 |

Based on the normality test Table 13 above, it is known that the significance value of the Pre\_test and Post\_test is small from alpha 0.05, so it can be concluded that the data is not normally distributed, so a non-parametric test using Man Whiteney was carried out.

#### Homogeneity test

Table 14. Test of Homogeneity of Variances (Behavior SL)

| Levene Statistic | df1 | df2 | Sig. |
|------------------|-----|-----|------|
| 11,844           | 1   | 144 | ,076 |

Homogeneity test shows the significance value of Levene's statistics is greater than 0.05, namely 0.076 so it can be concluded that the experimental group and the control group have the

same variance (homogeneous).

|             | Prepost_Behavior_S<br>L | Ν  | Mean Rank | Sum of Ranks |
|-------------|-------------------------|----|-----------|--------------|
|             | Pre_test                | 73 | 39,95     | 2916,00      |
| Behavior_SL | Post_test               | 73 | 107,05    | 7815,00      |
| -           | Total                   |    |           | 146          |

Table 15. Differential Test (Mann Whitney) (Ranks)

Based on the Ranks Table 16 above, it can be seen that the highest mean rank of Behavior is on the Post\_test, which is 107.05. And the lowest mean rank is in the pre test, which is 39.95. Then proceed with the Mann Whitney test which can be seen in the following Table 16 below.

Tabel 16. Test Statistics<sup>a</sup> (a. Grouping Variable: Prepost\_Behavior\_SL)

|                        | Behavior_SL |  |
|------------------------|-------------|--|
| Mann-Whitney U         | 215,000     |  |
| Wilcoxon W             | 2916,000    |  |
| Ζ                      | -9,901      |  |
| Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) | ,000        |  |

Based on the test statistics Table 17 above, it can be seen that the Asymp value. Perception significance is 0.000, smaller than 0.05, which means that there is a significant difference between the two tests consisting of Pre\_test and post-test on community behavior on TB disease in Sungai Limau.

## **B.** Discussion

From the results of the needs analysis, it is known that statistically TB knowledge, TB perception, and community habits in maintaining a healthy environment are very low. However, if seen from the results of observations, Sungai Limau Sub-district tends to be caused by a high density of house occupants, problems with toilets, lack of lighting, and high humidity.

Changes in a person's behavior cannot be done quickly unless given special treatment. Therefore, the main factor that must be done first is to increase public knowledge. With sufficient knowledge, someone will try to have a clean and healthy lifestyle<sup>19</sup>.

With sufficient knowledge about Tb, the respondents also have different perceptions about Tb. <sup>20</sup>states that the higher the perception of tuberculosis sufferers about the spread and consequences of not taking treatment, the more behavior they will have to avoid bad things happening. Increased perception also encourages a person to begin to feel the importance of getting information, and the need for support as an impetus to do better activities.

In this study, knowledge, and perceptions were increased by providing education in the form of counseling and picture sticker paper to respondents. Education is an effort to persuade the public to take action to maintain and improve health, of the 291 respondents in four sub-districts, in the implementation of behavior change, 100% of them decided to change their behavior and habits. This is because they do not want their family members to get TB disease. Statistically, the results of the application of the healthy environment model were also effective and practical as seen from the significantly increased Pre\_test and Post\_test results.

#### REFERENCES

- <sup>1</sup> Sugihantono, A. (2019). Percepatan Pencegahan dan Pengendalian Penyakit Menuju Cakupan Kesehatan Semesta. Sumater Barat: DIRJEN Pencegahan dan Pengendalian Penyakit Kemenkes RI Rakerkesda Provinsi Sumatera Barat.
- <sup>2</sup> Wiasa I. W. (2009). Hubungan Faktor Lingkungan Fisik Rumah dengan Kejadian TB Paru di Kabupaten Tabanan. [*Tesis*]. Universitas Airlangga: Surabaya
- <sup>3</sup> Yeni, E. (2020). Analisis Faktor-Faktor Yang Berhubungan Dengan Angka Kesembuhan Pengobatan Pada Pasien Tuberkulosis Paru Di Kab. Padang Pariaman. *Jurnal Human Care*. 5(1): 404-41
- <sup>4</sup> Febrinastri. (2020). Ratusan Rumah di Padang Pariaman Bakal Dapat Bantuan dari PUPR. <u>www.suara.com</u>
- <sup>5</sup> Budiharjo, E. (2005). *Tata Ruang Perkotaan*. PT Alumni: Bandung
- <sup>6</sup> Budi, I. S., Ardillah, Y., Sari, I. P., & Septiawati, D. (2018). Analisis Faktor Risiko Kejadian penyakit Tuberculosis Bagi Masyarakat Daerah Kumuh Kota Palembang (Artikel Jurnal). Jurnal Kesehatan Lingkungan Indonesia, 17(2), 87-94.
- <sup>7</sup> Warganegara, E., & Nur, N. N. (2016). Faktor risiko perilaku penyakit tidak menular. *Jurnal Majority*, 5(2), 88-94.
- <sup>8</sup> Kartasasmita, C. B. (2009). *Epidemiologi Tuberculosis*. UNPAD: Bandung
- <sup>9</sup> Narasimhan, P., Wood, J., MacIntyre, C. R., & Mathai, D. (2013). Risk factors for tuberculosis. *Pulmonary medicine*.
- <sup>10</sup> Muslimah, D. D. L. (2019). Keadaan lingkungan fisik dan dampaknya pada keberadaan Mycobacterium tuberculosis: Studi di wilayah kerja Puskesmas Perak Timur Surabaya. *Jurnal kesehatan lingkungan*, 11(1), 26-34.
- <sup>11</sup> Fahreza, E. U., Waluyo, H., & Novitasari, A. (2012). Hubungan antara Kualitas Fisik Rumah dan Kejadian Tuberkulosis Paru dengan Basil Tahan Asam Positif di Balai Kesehatan Paru Masyarakat Semarang. *Jurnal Kedokteran Muhammadiyah*, 1(1). 9-13.
- <sup>12</sup> Rachmawati, F. (2015). Prevalensi Penyakit Tuberculosis Paru di Kota Metro Provinsi Lampung Tahun 2011-2013. Jurnal Biotek Medisiana Indonesia, 4(1), 25-31.
- <sup>13</sup> Barlian E. (2018). *Metodologi Penelitian Kualitatif dan Kuantitatif*. Sukabina: Padang.
- <sup>14</sup> Marni, L., & Zairo, A. R. (2022). The Covid-19 Outbreak Disaster Mitigation Strategy. *European Journal of Modern Medicine and Practice*, 2(4), 30-37.
- <sup>15</sup> Marni, L., Asman, A., & Yanti, E. (2021). The Effect of Health Education on the Implementation of Early Mobilization in Post op Abdomen Patients in the Surgical Ward of Pariaman's RSUD in 2018. In *1st International Conference on Sport Sciences, Health and Tourism (ICSSHT 2019)* (pp. 239-243). Atlantis Press.
- <sup>16</sup> Marni, L., Barlian, E., Fatimah, S., & Muchtar, B. (2020). Risk Factors of Local Wisdom in Pariaman City. Sumatra Journal of Disaster, Geography and Geography Education, 4(2), 249-251.

- <sup>17</sup> Marni, L., Asman, A., Armaita, A., Yanti, E., & Aprihatin, Y. (2020). The Impact of Environmental Sanitation on Community Health. *Science and Environmental Journal for Postgraduate*, 2(2), 64-70.
- <sup>18</sup> Marni, L., Barlian, E., Hermon, D., Dewata, I., & Umar, I. (2020). Service Policy of Puskesmas Based on Dempo Volcano Disaster Mitigation using AHP in Pagar Alam City-Indonesia. *International Journal of Management and Humanities (IJMH)*, 4(9), p20-24.
- <sup>19</sup> Ruswanto B. (2010). Analisis Spasial Sebaran Kasus Tuberkulosis Paru Ditinjau dari Faktor Lingkungan Dalam dan Luar Rumah di Kabupaten Pekalongan [Tesis]. Universitas Diponegoro: Semarang
- <sup>20</sup> Nurhayati, I., Kurniawan, T., & Mardiah, W. (2015). Perilaku pencegahan penularan dan faktor-faktor yang melatarbelakanginya pada pasien tuberculosis multidrugs resistance (TB MDR). *Jurnal Keperawatan Padjadjaran*, 3(3).